



<p>School Streets, there does seem to be widespread support for the continuation of the scheme.</p>			
<p>I live about a 10 minute walk away from the school and I drive down Abbeydale Road in the morning to go to work. Every morning there are cars parked on Abbeydale Road and parents getting out and taking their children into your school. As I'm sure you know Abbeydale Road is a clear way at that time and cars are not allowed to park. I see my next door neighbour parking there everyday. These cars are causing congestion on the main road, forcing vehicles including buses to queue and spew out fumes right next to your school. Vehicles have to pull out and go round parked cars causing chaos and raising the potential for accidents and all of this near the crossing used by your students and parents. It seems to me all this initiative has done is move the problem round the corner on to a main road. It can only work properly if your parents are prepared to engage and it seems to me that many of them are only interested in what is most convenient to them.</p>	Feedback	email reply 19.10.2021	<p>Thanks for taking the time to feedback your concerns and observations regarding the closure of Glen Road at school drop off and pick up times and the negative effect it is having on traffic flow on Abbeydale Road. The following actions have been taken:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The SCC enforcement team have been informed and do regularly patrol the area, just this morning they handed out several fixed penalty notices to parked vehicles on the road</li> <li>• The school are sending out repeat information, stressing the importance of parking appropriately and safely, and warnings to parents against parking on Abbeydale road. We are pleased that the majority of parents at the school have welcomed the road closure and have chosen safe alternative places to park or have chosen to walk instead. However there are a small minority who believe the rules don't apply to them and through their actions create problems for other road users, we hope with regular enforcement these people will change their behaviour.</li> </ul>
<p>Having walked passed Nether Edge Primary school in the mornings this week, and over the last 2-3 weeks on a bike I've noticed cars parked on Abbeydale Road to drop off school children. Typically 1-2 cars, but this morning at 8:30-ish there were five. On foot this isn't a huge issue, but on a bike it coincides with the road narrowing, traffic lights and the bus lane/stops creating an extra lane. There's also the issue of inconsiderate opening of car doors. Glen Road has been closed to protect the school entrance: maybe the council would care to actively police the closure to protect the vulnerable users of Abbeydale Road? Given the inability of the school and council to enforce the parking rules on Abbeydale Road as a result of the closure of Glen Road please consider this a formal objection to the Experimental Order issued for Glen Road on October 7th 2021</p>	Objection	email reply 29.3.2022	<p>Thank you for your email. I'm sorry to hear that Parents have reverted to parking &amp; dropping off children on Abbeydale Road. I passed on your email to parking enforcement and they've responded "Morning Kat, we have a patrol on Abbeydale Road during the morning and evening arterial patrols. We have not had any feedback regarding vehicles parking at this time, I will ask officers to be at this location during patrol to check at around 8.30am, they are usually on schools and patrolling bus lanes at this time. Thank you for the information." Hopefully this patrol will help educate those few families who are choosing to put others in danger by parking illegally and dangerously. I will also ask the school to reiterate to all families that Abbeydale Road is not a suitable place to park or drop off children.</p>

**Greystones**

COMMENT	Support/ Object	REPLY TYPE? DATE	RESPONSE
<p>Thanks for upgrading to double yellow lines, as a Practice we are really grateful and hope it will be safer as we exit our car park. However, there are persistent offenders who still insist on parking there whilst they have a coffee at Gilmores. Some afternoon park attendants would be really helpful to break this habit, before term starts and Tullibardine Road closes.</p>	<p>Support</p>		<p>Thank you for your feedback it is much appreciated. Current working practices and the departments work load are making it harder to co-ordinate schemes to be 'active' at a set scheduled date. Due to this issue the lines are in place before the legal Order is active to enforce them. Occasionally, these things happen or the other way round, and we have to work around them. Please can I ask that you bear with us whilst the issues are resolved. This is likely to be in Sept/Oct. A 'notice' will be posted on lamp columns once the whole experimental scheme is active. The 'School Streets' scheme may run with a temporary Order until this time. I apologise for this inconvenience.</p>

<p>I wish to feed back to you what chaos your decision to close Tullibardine road has caused. I have just attempted to park somewhere near my house on Ranby Road to unload my food shopping and I was forced to drive round the local streets 3 times and was still unable to find a parking space. I am disabled and have blue badges and I ended up using them to park temporarily outside the Drs surgery at the bottom of Tullibardine Road, on a double yellow line which I do not like doing as it decreases the visibility of other road users. What I found is parents parking on corners of junctions, on double yellow lines, keeping their engines running to give the impression that they were not staying long and blocking the visibility of other drivers at the junctions. In addition, they parked on the white zig zag lines which surround the zebra crossing. Furthermore, the children who have just walked out of school up the middle of Tullibardine road, continue to walk as if there is no traffic &amp; I just had a child step in front of my car on Greystones Road as if they did not realise they were now outside the "no car zone" and cross over to their parent waiting on zig zag lines next to the zebra crossing. I then witnessed the same thing happening again on my next turn round the block to another driver. The decision to close Tullibardine Road at both ends of the school day has caused absolute chaos and made the situation more dangerous for the children. If you are going to ignore the local residents' comments and go ahead with this scheme, at least send a traffic warden to stop drivers doing illegal things and endangering the lives of these children because your scheme has not reduced the number of cars picking up their children, it has simply shoved the problem onto the main Greystones Road and increased the chaos and danger. The council need to look closely at the catchment area to stop families who cheat the system and come from other areas of the city as they are the ones who will always have to bring their cars and never walk to school.</p>	<p>Feedback</p>	<p>email reply 12.10.2021, holding email sent 20.9.2021</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments and feedback regarding the closure of Tullibardine road, we really do appreciate you getting in touch and sharing your concerns. Since receiving your email a member of the team has been out to look at the traffic at school drop off and pick up times. Although on a couple of occasions there were no obvious issues to report, on a later visit things were very different with a small number of parents waiting on the white zig zags, parking on double yellow lines on Greystones road and generally making the area extremely dangerous for everyone. This behaviour isn't acceptable or legal and we've been in touch with both parking enforcement and the neighbourhood police team requesting assistance. We are working closely with the school and they are sending out regular reminders to parents to park safely and appropriately if travelling by car. The children have also received road safety lessons and should be aware that even on Tullibardine road there is still traffic and to use the pavements as normal. Every year the school carries out a travel survey, the results from the previous 2 years are below. As you can see less than 10% of children travel by car, it will be interesting to see when the survey is carried out this year if that number has indeed changed - obviously, we are hoping to see a reduction in car use! We also know that the majority of those arriving by car live within walking distance but chose to drive due to other commitments such as work. This group are a key focus for the school and our active travel team. We take all views regarding the street closure trials seriously and use any complaints &amp;/ feedback from locals to further inform our designs. We are striving to create quieter, safer streets around school and need to run trials to identify and work on any problems before anything is made permanent.</p>
--	-----------------	---	---

<p>I am writing to object to the signs and planters which are in the road for Greystones school. I don't object to the road closing during these times and staff still have barriers across the road. The signs at the top part of the road take 2 parking spaces up when it is already very difficult to park around here. The signs and planters at the bottom are on the double yellow lines so don't take up spaces. I'm now often parking over 100metres from my house regularly. Why do the signs have to be in the road rather than the pavement as signs usually are?</p> <p>The road closes for 2 hours a day during term time but we are stuck with these signs and planters 24/7 I also think they will cause an accident as are not well lit at night.</p>		<p>email reply 18.11.2021</p>	<p>They are placed in the road to make the road narrower, helping drivers be more aware of the scheme and to help the marshals close the road to traffic at either end of the day. It also means we do not clutter the pavement for pedestrians who need to use it with buggies, wheelchairs etc. The planters have been positioned just after the single yellow line on each corner finishes whilst not obstructing the dropped kerb for pedestrians wishing to cross the road. As I'm sure you're aware the highway code states, "it's illegal to park opposite or within 10 metres (32 feet) of a junction". We have tried our best to impact as little as possible on residents parking but appreciate there has been a small loss of road space. The scheme is on an experimental order and so changes can be made. I can talk to the engineers regarding the placement and see if there are any other solutions. It might also be that the planters do not stay even if the scheme is made permanent. I can also see if we can have some reflective stickers put on them to help make them more visible at night.</p>
--	--	-----------------------------------	---

**General Comments**

COMMENT	Support/ Object	RESPONSE		
<p>I am responding on behalf of CycleSheffield. We support all these proposed Experimental Traffic Regulation Orders. Regards,</p>	<p>Support</p>			

<p>This is the first information I have had on this. I'm not aware of any consultation with us on it. Obviously, the key issue is how you propose to manage and enforce these restrictions. I am presuming you will be introducing physical measures to prevent access into the affected streets. Certainly it is not something that can be left to signing alone and likewise not something we can be expected to support through enforcement.</p> <p>I cant see any signing information or anything within the pack you have provided to give any clue as to the measures to secure compliance with the restriction.</p>	Feedback	<p>Afternoon [REDACTED]</p> <p>Apologies for not including you earlier on in the process.</p> <p>We currently have 4 School Streets in operation in Sheffield, they are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Carfield Primary – Argyle Road (from the junction with Cyprus Road) and Close</li> <li>• Greystones Primary – Tullibardine Road (from the junction with Greystones Road to Huntingtower Road)</li> <li>• Nether Edge Primary – Glen Road (from junction with Abbeydale road)</li> <li>• Porter Croft Primary – Pomona Street (from junction with Harland Road)</li> </ul> <p>Each scheme will have signage at the entrance and exit, planters at the entrance to narrow the road and a removable barrier marshalled by trained school staff and volunteers. All signage has been approved by DfT and is specific to each location in conjunction with the ETRO starting from 18th October.</p>	<p>Apologies for not replying sooner, Ive been trying to work around suffering from Covid which has been a bit up and down but hopefully im through the other side.</p> <p>Would you mind sending me the signing schedules so I have some understanding of the nature of the signs you are using.</p> <p>I would be interested to see how this works out particularly around the way the closures are managed. I know that Barnsley have moved away from this due to concerns over potential conflict with non accredited operatives (school staff) implementing closures.</p> <p>How is the success or otherwise of this initiative being monitored, what are the indicators to gauge this?</p>	<p>I hope the attached is what you're after, I'm very new to this side of transport planning and so a lot of the terminology is unfamiliar.</p> <p>All the schemes have been in place with school staff and volunteers marshalling the barrier since the start of the academic year (Sept 2021). There have been a couple of instances of conflict at the barrier and at the school gates but this has been one or two vocal parents who simply disagree with the concept as a whole. The majority of people, both local residents and parents have welcome the closures and are enjoying the benefits they bring.</p> <p>Monitoring and evaluation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• We will have a feedback session with each school after half term to discuss their scheme, what's working and what isn't.</li> <li>• Each school has an Active Travel Officer from our team working closely with them on all things active travel so any issues can be highlighted and dealt with promptly.</li> <li>• I am part of the volunteers whats app group, so if anything happens whilst they are marshalling the barrier they can get in touch with me directly</li> <li>• All complaints, feedback is directed to the schoolstreets@sheffield.gov.uk email address so I have an overall view on how things are going, and what problems may be happening in the surrounding area as a result of the closure.</li> <li>• We will survey residents and parents before the end of term to gauge their views (questions yet to be determined).</li> <li>• The school will carry out a travel survey with the children – hopefully we will see an increase in active travel to school.</li> </ul>
--	----------	--	--	---

We have had input from local neighbourhood police teams at both Carfield and Nether Edge since the schemes were implemented on temporary TROs in September. Some assistance has recently been requested at Greystones as a small number of parents are using the pedestrian crossing markings as a car park. Other than an occasional visit, we would not expect the schemes to require police enforcement.

If you would like any further details or information regarding these schemes or future school streets, please do get back in touch.

Let me know if you want to discuss further,

Carfield

COMMENT	SUPP ORT/ OBJE CT?	REPL Y TYPE ? DATE	RESPONSE				
<p>██████████. I'm writing regarding the new "street furniture" that has appeared. I am not aware that residents were notified and I live very close to the place where this has been installed. My neighbour ██████████ has shared the message she received from yourselves in respect of this (pasted below). As advised in that message, I'm following up to ask questions and offer my views. As such:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Please can you advise where this was advertised on street, for how long and how this met the legal requirements for information and consultation?</li> <li>2. What is the benefit of narrowing the street? Is this due to replace the marshals and if not, what is the purpose of it?</li> </ol> <p>My views and reasons for asking these questions are as follows: - I acknowledge you have said you did take steps to inform, but wonder how then, these notices failed to reach me and other residents. I didn't see any signage / notification on the street and I'm not aware of any neighbours who saw a notice. Also, like most people, I don't regularly get a local</p>	<p>feed back</p>		<p>Thanks for getting in touch. I'll do my best to answer your concerns and questions regarding the street furniture on Argyle road. As stated in the previous email SCC are legally obliged to inform the public of the experimental traffic order both on street and as a notice in the Sheffield Telegraph. The map below shows the location of where the notices have been attached to existing poles etc on Argyle Road &amp; Close. The picture below shows what they look like and that they were displayed on 7th October, at least 10 days prior to the installation. They will not be taken down for the length of the order. As these traffic orders are both temporary and experimental the council is able to try out different approaches to problems. The public can object to any part of the scheme including the street</p>				



paper so would not have seen this if it was just put in the Star or Telegraph; plus, I'd strongly suggest that methods of notification need to move with the times.

- It does seem that this is another example of SCC failing to communicate with residents, and the reply below to [REDACTED] enquiry seems to just pay lip service to information and consultation by doing the bare minimum. That being the case, it would be good to have this reviewed for future communication over such matters.

- It is frustrating to have changes made without knowing or knowing the rationale, especially when these cause disruption. It is much better to be reassured that residents' needs have been taken into account, which very much does not seem to be the case from the approach taken, including the below reply. I'd be very keen to see better communication to support this.

- As there are usually parked cars all the way along, the new "furniture" doesn't in fact narrow the street. It just prevents parking, at all times - not just during school pick up and drop off. It's very difficult for residents to get parked on the road, and the addition of extra vehicles for school was time limited, whereas the signs and planters are taking up two "spaces" permanently, and adding to a further 'break' in available parking

furniture, the size & location of planters etc in the first 6 months of installation. The council must then take into account all objections whilst deciding the future of the scheme (to keep it as is, to keep it but change parts of it or to take out completely). This is the legal requirement. The narrowing of the street using planters is aiming to:

- Create a marked entrance/exit to the school street
- Make the school street distinguishable from other streets
- Make it easier for those marshalling the closure point, as it makes their space safer – cars are unable to drive around the edge of the barrier.
- Slows down moving traffic at all times
- The narrowing will not replace the marshals. However if the scheme was to be made permanent so could the narrowing of the carriageway, if it was felt to be beneficial.

We fully appreciate that notices in the paper and on



been passed these contact details by highways sheffield am trying to find out if u actually have a road closure permit or under what law the council is closing this road as a public highway has right of access without a valid permit  
 can u please forward me a copy of the permit or relevent law you are using to close the road so i can list it in my legal challenge also can u tell me what department is funding these closures just in case your unaware this information is givable under the freedom of information act -----Hello as u are no doubt aware any council initiative is a matter of public record so please find this email to be a freedom of information request for a full and detailed report on all messages sent in the private whatsapp group for the marshals on the road as i have been directed to contact Kat at school streets to be told by marshals she is part of this whats app group but not a part of school streets screenshots would be preferd as cant be altered----  
 ---can u please provide me a copy of your coucil id as you clearly dont work in the school street department this is a freedom of information request as i belive you misrepresented your status to carfield primary school

The School Street (closure of Argyle Road & Close) has been set up by Sheffield City Council in partnership with ModeshiftSTARS and the School. All signage has been provided by contractor Amey and will be stored at the school whilst not in use. The school is by no way an impartial element of this scheme, they are fully committed and we are all working together to create safe, traffic free, school streets, benefiting everyone. The attached images seem to be from a private facebook group consisting of concerned parents discussing the daily traffic issues outside Carfield Primary School.  
 Paul Turpin is a parent with children at the school and a Local Councillor for the area. In both roles has been involved in campaigning for traffic solutions and offered valuable support for Carfield School and I'm sure will continue to do so.----yes but the signage contradicts the order the order states to allow access to frontages the signs do indeed mark a pedestrianised zone and as such would allow no traffic includeing blue badge holders

Please see attached a couple of emails I've received from this man. He's not been pleased about the scheme or the indeed the concept from the very beginning, he's been aggressive towards volunteers and has threatened to sue the school on multiple occasions. As you can see from the most recent email, he now wants a copy of my council ID!!  
 Glad that you spoke to him Richard. Any advice on how I should respond?

RC: I've just had a discussion over the phone with a gentleman called [REDACTED] regarding Argyle Road, the restrictions for School Streets and the ETRO for the same. He claimed that he was going to object to the ETRO and also make a complaint regarding a Councillor's "abuse of power". Could you tell me whether you've heard from this gentleman before, please?  
 He wants a copy of the ETRO, which I am happy to send to him,

RC: I've spoken to [REDACTED] again on the phone as he called me once more. He told me he was trying to send an e-mail with accompanyin g material to the Traffic Regs e-mail address but is apparently being blocked from doing so. As of this moment he is in the process of sending me a series of e-mails which appear to be scattered in their subject matter – I've received 9 so far. I will collect them and send them through to Traffic Regs

through as u have just stated as it is a traffic regulation but a change of use requires planning consent i was unaware of any such planning-  
----The white signs mark the start of the pedestrian and cycle zone, they also state what time the zone is in effect to and from. As you know there is a traffic regulation order in place which prohibits traffic from entering the zone between the times marked on the signs. If people in cars (who are not exempt) enter the zone during the stated times they are breaking the law by committing a moving traffic offence and could be reprimanded by the police for doing so.  
Our volunteers are simply helping people to understand this new zone, remind them that traffic is banned at these times, thus helping to create a safer, quieter road outside the school at pick up and drop off times for the benefit of everyone.  
If people respected the signage and the zone, out volunteers wouldn't be necessary - what a wonderful thought! Until then they have every right to be there helping drivers become aware and

but I did just want to check what the history of correspondence had been with him and whether he's been sent anything previously. I've CC'd in Peter to this e-mail because I think we did trial School Streets with a TTRO before making the ETRO. Is the ETRO now the basis for the restrictions in place? [REDACTED] claimed to have obtained my number from the TTRO, which I expect would mean that he took it from the notices relevant to the TTRO. If we've implemented restrictions on

as they are the intended recipients.  
As regards how to deal with his e-mails that you had attached, I can see that there are (among other things) information requests. I would suggest you inform the Information Management team so that they can be aware and can track these requests. They'll also be able to offer advice on dealing with those but if you need legal advice on them then I can assist where

park appropriately away from school. I hope this clarifies the situation for you.

the basis of the ETRO then I just want to check that there no notices out on street at the moment concerning the TTRO rather than the

necessary.

I've no doubt I will be getting more material from [REDACTED] in due course. I think we are heading for a situation where multiple officers are going to be e-mailed a large volume of material, where a series of questions are being asked of those different officers and where the best thing for all concerned would be for that to be managed in such a way that there is a single point of contact

for the different strands of his correspondence.

It seems to me that it would not be possible to deal with his concerns through the 'Problem Solving' part of the complaints procedure owing to the amount of material to be addressed although you will need to make a decision on this yourself. My view is that it would benefit everyone for him to collect his concerns in a written format, where any questions he

						<p>asks can be put to us in some form of structure and so that they can be properly addressed through an investigation . I think therefore that [REDACTED] will need to be informed of the Council's complaints procedure so that the aspect of his concerns that can be regarded as such can be separated out and dealt with as appropriate as part of that process. Part of kicking off this process will involve identifying the relevant</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>department(s) which will deal with the complaint, the investigating officer and the officer who would be responsible in the event that a review of that investigation is requested. One of the benefits of this process is that, depending on the outcome and should [REDACTED] have exhausted options through the corporate complaints procedure, it would then be appropriate for him to be referred to the LGO if he</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



						<p>wishes to take the matter further – rather than him have unlimited opportunity to pursue the same complaint once investigated.</p> <p>If he objects to the ETRO then that will need to be dealt with accordingly as well, however this should be relatively straightforward insofar as an objection to an ETRO should be a discrete thing and not the subject of ongoing correspondence. The grounds for his objection</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

						<p>are not clear, he appears to claim the order was “illegally obtained” but he hasn’t set out why, at least not in the correspondence I have seen so far. He’s referred to the involvement of the member, but this is not in the context of a decision by that member. Until such point as the objection is made clear then we should reserve our position on this as the actual objection received may be irrelevant for the purposes of</p>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

the  
regulations.

<p>I wish to object to the attached Road Traffic Order: Prohibition of Driving except Authorised Vehicles and Permit Holders (School Street's) at: Carfield Primary School - Argyle Road between Cyprus Road and Upper Albert Road and Argyle Close between 8.00am - 9.15am and 2.30pm – 3.45pm. I have previously made representations on this issue [initial email from me [REDACTED] (25/06/2021) and the response from [REDACTED] to my initial email (30/06/2021), attached] and continue to make such representations which I request are now treated as an objection to this specific experimental Road Traffic Order Prohibition Notice. The unintended consequence of the action to close Argyle Road prevents me from going about my legitimate business in my normal manner. Argyle Road is a public highway. I do not appreciate being forced to traverse the adjacent very narrow side streets with cars parked on both sides of the roads. For information these streets are: Cyprus Road, Upper Valley Road, Kent Road and Rushdale Road. I also consider that all persons living on Bishops Court Road should have received a letter from the City Council informing them of this experimental Road Traffic Regulation Order. I have previously requested that I and residents who live on Bishops Court Road and all streets adjoining Argyle Road, be informed and consulted on</p>	<p>objec tion</p>	<p>Thank you for your recent email regarding the School Streets Experimental Traffic Regulation Order. For further information the Carfield Primary School – School Street Scheme operates over the following roads/times: - School Streets: Argyle Road between Cyprus Road and Upper Albert Road and Argyle Close - Times: Monday to Friday (Term Time excluding Bank Holidays) 8.00 - 9.15am and 2.30 – 3.45pm. The prohibition of driving except permit holders will create school street's with a safer school entrance. A reduction in vehicle numbers and movements in the immediate vicinity of the schools will make it easier and safer to walk, scoot and cycle the journey to school. The reduction in vehicles will also improve air quality around the school gates. The Local Authorities Traffic Order (Procedure) Regulations 1996 were followed and our duty to publish a notice in the local paper was complied with. In addition notices were displayed on street and residents on the streets</p>	<p>HS: Thank you for talking with me on the morning of Wednesday 23rd June 2021. I am the person living on Bishops Court Road who was questioning you and your colleagues regarding the road closures during school drop off hours in the morning and pick up of children in the afternoons during the week beginning 21/06/2021. I did not see any signage informing me of this fact until I drove along Argyle Road on Monday 21st June 2021 (after the 09.15 reopening of the road), on my way to work. I was uncertain if I'd read the sign on the pavement correctly, given I was in a travelling vehicle and it would have been unsafe for me to spend more than a few moments glancing at the signage. I have not been consulted on this road closure, nor informed in writing. Is this official signage prepared and installed</p>	<p>HS: Thank you for taking the time to respond, your response is appreciated, although I do not support what you are doing, this is a problem of parents using vehicles to deliver their children to school, but we will all be impacted by your proposals. I personally would like to be consulted on these proposals as I will be objecting to them. Please can you ensure that I am added to your list of consultees as all persons living on Bishops Court</p>	<p>SS: As a City Council we have do try and do what's best for everyone, in this case the 600 children and their families who attend Carfield Primary school and their right to a safe school entrance are our priority. Removing through traffic on this road at school drop off and pick up times is one way to ensure this outcome. As I explained in my earlier email you will be able to object to the upcoming scheme via the usual</p>	
---	-----------------------	--	--	---	---	--

<p>the RTO to close public highways in this area through direct mail. However walking along Argyle Road today (half term week - although the highway is apparently closed during the stated times this week) I spotted the single notice advertising this RTO on a lamp post.</p> <p>I have not been consulted directly, despite the intended restrictions to this public highway to which I normally have vehicular access to traverse. I have however found the documentation on your web site, following my review of the notice on the lamp post.</p> <p>As noted in my earlier email, the lack of publicity (and I do not mean consultation) has been very poor throughout this whole process from the temporary road closures in June of this year and the permanent closures from the 7th October 2021.</p> <p>The closure of this vehicular highway at the stated times each day, [I am uncertain whether this means Monday to Friday or Monday to Sunday inclusive]; is unacceptable and has impacted on my rights as an individual to travel along a public highway by private motor vehicle. It causes me great inconvenience and removes my former rights to travel along this highway to get to work in a reasonable timeframe.</p> <p>This RTO is wholly unacceptable to me.</p> <p>Please treat this as a letter of</p>		<p>directly affected by the proposed closures were informed.</p> <p>The scheme has been introduced on an experimental basis and as such it may remain in place for a period of up to 18 months. The consultation period lasts for 6 months up to 18th April 2022. This allows the chance for changes to be introduced and an assessment made as to how well they operate, and also whether they cause problems for other road users and occupiers of adjacent properties before considerations to make the scheme permanent.</p> <p>Your objection comments you have made will be taken into consideration. All comments received will be included in a report to the Executive Member who has the responsibility for making the final decision on whether the scheme should be made permanent, altered or taken out. All decisions are posted on the SCC website, I will write again to inform you of when this will happen.</p> <p>Thank you for taking the</p>	<p>by the City Council? When was this done? How many signs are there and where are they? What legislation has been used to close Argyle Road during the times stated? On the 23/06, I was travelling to the Dentist for my appointed time, and then onto my place of work in Rotherham. I was however prevented in undertaking my journey by yourself and other people. You are not the police or acting in an transport/highways officer capacity with Sheffield City Council and I did not see anyone else from the City Council who indicated that they have the authority to close this road and prevent me from travelling along it. Was the decision to close the road delegated to officers within the Council or a Member decision? I request a copy of all reports and decision-</p>	<p>Road and Bishops Close should be consulted as should those persons living on Meersbrook Park Road (and the nearby streets), as it is highly likely that some, if not all of us, travel along Argyle Road at the times you are proposing to close the road.</p> <p>Is the Cabinet Member [REDACTED] personally impacted by any localised school road closure schemes?</p> <p>The road closure will be most inconvenient to me and this needs to be</p>	<p>ETRO process, which will be advertised on the street and via the paper as is the legal requirement. The consultation survey can be found here: <a href="https://forms.gle/7DnGdsGhXmQTspEx7">https://forms.gle/7DnGdsGhXmQTspEx7</a>, please do take the time to share your views.</p> <p>I have no idea if [REDACTED] is personally affected by a School Street scheme, at the time he was the Leader of the Council and thought that prioritising children's safety outside the school gates</p>	
--	--	---	---	--	---	--

<p>objection to your proposals as there are negative consequences arising from your action. Given that you have not consulted with the people living nearby to these streets, directly by letter, I consider that you are removing our rights as individuals, to use a highway at all times of the day and week, to which we would normally have access. Regards</p>			<p>opportunity to comment on our proposals, if you have any further comments within the 6 month consultation period or queries please contact myself or the School Streets email address.</p>	<p>taking. Please confirm the authority with which Argyle Road was closed on 23/06 (and all of this week) and all details of the decision to support this road closure. The unintended consequence of the action to close Argyle Road prevented me from going about my legitimate business in my normal manner. Argyle Road is a public highway. I did not appreciate being forced by the barrier and other people, who appeared to be local residents, down very narrow side streets with cars parked on both sides of the roads. For information these streets are: Cyprus Road, Upper Valley Road, Kent Road and Rushdale Road. I also consider that all persons living on Bishops Court Road should have received a letter from the City Council informing them of this proposal. I am very concerned by the</p>	<p>reflected in any future decision-making. Please do not assume there will be overwhelming support for your scheme.  Please also be aware that the points I raised about publicity regarding the temporary closure. I regard such publicity / adverts as woefully inadequate for such a scheme with significant local impacts.</p>	<p>was important. So far we have received overwhelming support for the continued closure of Argyle Road and Close at school drop off and pick up times. The survey deadline is Wednesday 14th July and we will make any necessary amendments , alterations to the proposed scheme once we have taken the outcomes and suggestions into account. The points you raised regarding the publicity of the week</p>	
--	--	--	---	--	---	---	--

				<p>time limited road closures that you state are to be implemented in September 2021 and I wish to object to such proposals.</p> <p>Does Sheffield City Council intend to consult with all residents on these proposals?</p> <p>If not, why not, given the impact on myself and other local residents who live in this area?</p> <p>I request that I and residents who live on Bishops Court Road and all streets adjoining Argyle Road are consulted on any proposals to close public highways in this area.</p> <p>I think the lack of publicity (and I do not mean consultation) has been very poor; a signboard on a pavement with small writing and sticky tape does not look professional, neither is it easily read from a travelling car. I personally did not take the sign seriously,</p>		<p>long closure have been noted and we will investigate ways we can make such trials more visible to the wider public in the future.</p>	
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

				<p>although when confronted by you all, on the morning of the 23rd June, I felt intimidated by the numerous people present, and made to feel I was doing something illegal. This is unacceptable and has impacted on my rights as an individual to travel along a public highway by private motor vehicle.</p> <p>Are your actions to close the road with no public consultation, legal?</p> <p>The most unfortunate thing about this action - to close the road in front of the school - meant that at the junction of Bishops Court Road with Argyle Road, it was almost impossible to navigate safely in a vehicle, as people were literally parked on the junction, and other people were attempting to cross the road with children and dogs in as safe a manner as possible.</p> <p>Your colleague stated that people were</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--



				<p>“looking” at the junction. See Rule 243 of the Highway Code. <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/the-highway-code/waiting-and-parking-238-to-252">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/the-highway-code/waiting-and-parking-238-to-252</a> Who were the people looking at the junction? Were these people highway engineers / transport planners who understand traffic management and movement and most importantly highway safety issues? I am very much in favour of children walking to school but I do appreciate this is not always possible for working parents. I consider that on the morning of the 23rd June some local residents very clearly to me, over stepped the mark, by closing this local road with very limited prior warning and there did not appear to me to be anyone in attendance from the transportation and highway section within the City Council. This was at worst</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

foolhardy but could have had the unintended consequence of causing a very nasty accident. Can I also ask what the resident permits on Argyle Road are for? It was stated that I would need a residents permit and, that one would have been sent through the post to me by Sheffield City Council if I were to be allowed to continue on my journey along Argyle Road. Are these residents permits, to allow residents only parking along Argyle Road? Or do they allow access during the hours stated that the road is closed to Upper Albert Road? I am copying this email to the City Council in the hope that we residents on Bishops Court Road will be consulted prior to the imposition Argyle Road closures that are claimed to be made in September 2021, on a permanent basis. Please treat this as a letter of

complaint. I do require a response to all questions raised in this email-----

-----SS:We have received your email regarding the temporary one week trial closure of Argyle road (June 2021). Thanks for taking the time to get in touch, hopefully the information below answers all your questions and concerns regarding the closure. Is this official signage prepared and installed by the City Council? Amey who are contracted by the Council prepare and install the signage in conjunction with Transport Planners. When was this done? Warning / information road signs were place on surrounding roads 7 days before the closure as is the legal requirement for notifying the public. How many signs are there and where are they? Pre-warning signs

were placed on Argyle road & Cyprus road. What legislation has been used to close Argyle Road during the times stated?  
Temporary Traffic Regulation Order (TTRO). Legislation for a TTRO is The Road Traffic (Temporary Restrictions) Procedure Regulations 1992  
Was the decision to close the road delegated to officers within the Council or a Member decision?  
School Streets are pilot schemes aimed at improving safety of children traveling to school by closing the road to through traffic. This is supported by all parties and delivered by the Council in partnership with the school. Originally it was [REDACTED] who gave the go ahead for school streets.  
Does Sheffield City Council intend to consult with all residents on these proposals? All residents

				<p>living on Argyle Road, Argyle Close, the bottom of Bishop Court Road and those on Upper Valley &amp; Cyprus will be sent a survey as part of the consultation process as they live in the immediate vicinity of the school, the closed section of road or a potential pinch point/problem junction. Who were the people looking at the junction? Were these people highway engineers / transport planners who understand traffic management and movement and most importantly highway safety issues? Yes - Transport Planners Are your actions to close the road with no public consultation, legal? Residents directly affected by the closure and all parents were told prior to the road being closed. As stated above other local residents will be surveyed. Come September the Council will use an ETRO which will be advertised to the</p>			
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

public both on site and in the paper.  
Are these residents permits, to allow residents only parking along Argyle Road? The permits allow residents living on the closed section of road access to their properties whilst the closure is in place.  
"You are not the police or acting in an transport/highways officer capacity with Sheffield City Council and I did not see anyone else from the City Council who indicated that they have the authority to close this road and prevent me from travelling along it." [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED], SCC was on site that day. The marshals at the barrier have been trained and are acting to enforce the legal order which is in place and they have every right to be there.  
  
"The most unfortunate thing about this action - to close the road in

				<p>front of the school - meant that at the junction of Bishops Court Road with Argyle Road, it was almost impossible to navigate safely in a vehicle, as people were literally parked on the junction, and other people were attempting to cross the road with children and dogs in as safe a manner as possible”</p> <p>There was a member of staff looking at the junction throughout the week and on occasion people parked in an obstructive manner making the junction dangerous. The team will be looking on how to deal with that as part of the ongoing scheme in September .</p> <p>The week long closure was a test to highlight any potential problems prior to trialling the closure using a ETRO in September. An Experimental Traffic Regulation Order (ETRO) is a restriction that a Council can impose with limited</p>			
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

				<p>consultation which are typically used to test a change were the effect is uncertain. Once an ETRO is in place there is a 6 month consultation period where anyone can make comments or object. This 6 month period lets people experience the change first hand and see how it works without it being made permanent. It also allows time for the Council to monitor and assess its impact before making a formal decision on whether it is kept or not.</p> <p>If you have any further questions, please do get back in touch.</p>			
<p>The closure has made a difference to the Close. It was a nice and quiet week, no horns, no angry voices, no chaos. Long may the closure last. People are able to walk the short distance from Cyprus Road and it will be beneficial to their health.</p>	<p>Support</p>						



<p>Just to say that we at 89 are experiencing a blissful silence punctuated only by the sound of kids and parents walking to school this morning! It's great and must be much safer too.</p>	<p>support</p>	<p>replied by email</p>	<p>Thanks for getting in touch, I'm so pleased that your experience of the new School Street has been positive - welcome news indeed.</p>				
<p>Some neighbours on the street WhatsApp are asking if there was any consultation about the new street furniture on the road? Most are supportive of the new scheme but would have liked notice of the new furniture and some consultation about it - some say the sign is good but the planter just reduces parking space rather helping control the traffic</p>	<p>query</p>	<p>replied by email</p>	<p>The new signage and street furniture is part of the experimental traffic order and the trial school street running for the next 12 months, this was advertised on street and in the paper as part of the legal process. As it is an experimental scheme it is subject to change depending on people's views and opinions and formal objections. Unfortunately, we do not have the capacity to consult everyone on each aspect of the scheme. We will, however gladly take on board any comments, suggestions The idea behind the planters is to reduce the width of the road, creating an obvious traffic calming measure and to make it easier for those marshalling the closure point.</p>				

<p>I am in agreement that something needs to be done to help reduce the traffic and near accidents however there are a couple of things that I feel needs to be brought up for the success of this being permanent. Firstly nobody has asked parents who live out of walking, cycling distance to the school how they are able to bring the children to school on time or pick up on time. Secondly We now have parents parking along the 15 mins parking zone on Argyle road from 2.30 or even earlier prior to the road closure staying parked until the children come out of school at 3.20pm. Why can this area not be used for disabled parking for the school freeing the road on Argyle Close for those with mobility disabilities only? The 15 minute rule doesn't seem to get used at all. Nobody is taking any notice of these cars parking for over half an hour at times and for the people with genuine disabilities trying to help by not parking up until nearer the time of collection of their children is causing problems. My daughter is in a wheelchair and yesterday again we had to park down Upper Albert road because we had nowhere to park. This is dangerous for my daughter because it is all down hill and already with leaves falling onto the paths and the rain it makes it extremely slippery and dangerous. We try to park along Argyle road instead of Argyle close because</p>	<p>com plain t</p>	<p>email for ward onto the head teac her who discu ssed the issue with the pare nt in pers on.</p>	<p>I spoke with the parent and she said she was worried that parents were coming earlier and taking up the spaces for those that had disabilities. She still preferred to park on Argyle Road as opposed to Argyle close</p>				
---	----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

<p>there is never anywhere to park up there as again it is the same cars constantly parking this however has a camera on the road and if you have a blue badge even children without mobility needs can park up there so we have given up with that battle however I don't think it is fair that like I have said above people with mobility needs should be made to park down Albert road. If this was a parent having to use a wheelchair to collect their child(ren) how would they get to them on time? We was lucky someone had moved out of the space but even this road is dangerous due to the road closures as more cars are parking on both sides.I don't think Disabilities has been looked into to make this road closure and if it is permanent more needs to be looked at and parents/children needs to be taken into account.</p>							
<p>As a results of the SCC closing the roads around Carfield School, parents, guardians and carers are not being allowed to pickup and drop off children from their cars around Carfield School. As a result drop off and pick-up has been diverted to corners of Kent Road and Upper Valley Road causing safety issues for those crossing the roads in this area - when drivers are backing up, parking on courners and double yellow lines. It is chaos - especially if cars are parked in this way between 8-9 am and 3-4pm. Someone needs to be at the x-roads of</p>	<p>concern</p>	<p>email</p>	<p>Thank you for your email and feeding this back to us. It is useful to hear how certain points are effected around the scheme. In the trial and consultation period for the closure in June we had a member of Modeshift Stars positioned on this junction for a whole week when there was no closure and the week where there was.In that week it was observed that the problem was as bad when there was no closure point.</p>				

<p>Kent Rd and Carfield Road to direct traffic. The traffic wardens said this is not their job as they only will issue citations but will not direct traffic - they said this morning it is a police task. Three traffic wardens were in front of the school today but chose to do nothing about the chaos at x-roads of Kent Rd and Upper Valley Road. At about 8.55am after I informed them of the situation one warden finally came down to the x-roads but this was too late.</p>		<p>This junction has always been problematic as the residents parking is already heavy with corners parked on and the area in front of the white railings often blocked by cars that are not related to school traffic. (indicated by being there before 8 or after 4)The visit from the parking inspectors on Monday was welcome but yes you're right they are not able to direct traffic and police resources are limited. However we have asked if the PCSO team (community support) could make some visits when possible and we will remind school to ask parents to be more considerate and use the less congested areas further along Upper Valley and Meersbrook Park Road to park instead.The safety of the children is also ours and Modeshift Stars concern and we have received great feedback that the area immediately around school has improved and the uptake of walking and other sustainable travel has increased</p>				
---	--	---	--	--	--	--

<p>I live on [REDACTED] you have put in place parking restrictions around Carfield School. This is not working people arrive anytime from 1.45 and sit in the car waiting for the school to end. Residents are arriving home and not able to park outside there house</p>	<p>com plain t</p>	<p>email</p>	<p>I'm sorry to hear this but there is really very little we can do to stop people turning up 1.5 hours early to collect children from school in their car. I personally have no idea why anyone would choose to spend their time that way – seems ridiculous! The road is a public highway and those living on the street are not guaranteed parking spaces. Even people living in areas with resident parking schemes are not guaranteed parking spaces and yet they have to pay for the privilege. The aim of the School Street is to provide a safe school entrance at drop off and pick up times, with reduced vehicle movements and dangerous parking in the area when the children are entering and leaving the school. The general consensus is that this is working and the area immediately outside of school is much better.</p>				
---	----------------------------	--------------	---	--	--	--	--

<p>I'd like to raise concerns about the road closures and permits around carfield school. Parents are ignoring the road closures (there's no physical closure to stop people) and driving up Carfield Rd and Argyle close after 8am. People are also parking on the zigzag yellow lines outside the school gates.</p>	concern	email 28.9.2021	<p>We are aware of some problems building up around school and will be having a meeting around half term to look at problems that are occurring while the scheme is in its infancy. Monitoring was carried out before the closure to see when the heaviest flow of traffic is and as we are depending on parent volunteers to staff the barrier, 8.15 is the current start point for the closure. This morning we had the parking inspectors and community officers out to address some of the issues. I know that only solves some of the problems while they are there but we will keep this up and continue to listen to feedback like yours. We will also ask school to remind parents about their behaviour to residents but its hard to control what is going on outside the school premises. I do appreciate you being in touch [REDACTED], do drop me a line back and if it helps we can talk over the phone.</p>				
---	---------	-----------------	---	--	--	--	--

<p>i am a resident on argle road and although we have been issued with parking permits wish to complain about this road closure it is not staffed by council staff but school parents traffic on the surrounding roads is a nightmare making travelling horrendous if you could please provide me with an email or telephone number where i can appeal this decision as it is stupidity at its best</p>	objec tion	email 28.9. 2021	<p>Thank you for your email. We are always happy to hear from residents with feedback related to our school streets across the city. The scheme does not need to be staffed by council staff and would not be feasible with the hours that would require. The parent volunteers have taken this role on to enable the scheme to work and to improve the safety of pupils and residents. We have also received feedback from some residents to say how much they are enjoying the closure. However we are reviewing and monitoring the situation and if you are having specific problems returning or exiting your home please let me know. There will be teething problems with any scheme like this and we will be meeting midway through the term to work on any improvements.</p>				
---	---------------	------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

<p>this is a follow up email to request a copy of the road closure document and would also like the vetting procedure for the parents staffing it as a disabled person i should have access but was denied access by a parent that i have had previous disagreements with the traffic to and from school has become a nightmare and would like to know wich board i have to go to to appeal this road closure dicission am still waiting on information from the school to take the afformentiond parent to civil court so if u also have that information it would be appretiated</p>	<p>com plain t</p>	<p>email reply 30.9. 2021</p>	<p>Thank you for your email and sharing your concerns. The parent volunteers on the barrier are aware that they need to let drivers with valid disabled badges through. Please let us know if you have been denied access with a badge and we will update the school and the team on the barrier. We are not able to get involved or comment on the legal dispute that you have mentioned. However, we are monitoring the situation with school, residents and parents and have received a huge amount of support for the scheme seeing how much safer and quieter it is around school itself. Parents and carers are encouraged to park further away from school in streets such as Meersbrook Park Road where there is ample space and still only a short walk from school. If this walk isn't possible due to disability please let us know and apply through the usual channels to receive a blue badge. I have attached a copy of the Temporary Traffic Regulation order (TTRO) which covers this road closure as requested. There are three other school streets at schools within Sheffield and more due</p>				
--	----------------------------	---	---	--	--	--	--



			across South Yorkshire this year.				
queery i notice the road closeure yellow sign has been removed and replaced with white traffic regulation signs so when will u be removeing volunteers as am sure your aware traffic regulations require traffic wardens or police to inforce makeing this now more a protest	quer y	email reply 24.1 1.20 21	The white signs mark the start of the pedestrian and cycle zone, they also state what time the zone is in effect to and from. As you know there is a traffic regulation order in place which prohibits traffic from entering the zone between the times marked on the signs. If people in cars (who are not exempt) enter the zone during the stated times they are breaking the law by committing a moving traffic offence and could be reprimanded by the police for doing so.Our volunteers are simply helping people to understand this new zone, remind them that traffic is banned at these times, thus helping to create a safer, quieter road outside the school at pick up and drop off times for the benefit of				

			everyone. If people respected the signage and the zone, out volunteers wouldn't be necessary - what a wonderful thought! Until then they have every right to be there helping drivers become aware and park appropriately away from school				
yes but the signage contradicts the order the order states to allow access to frontages the signs do indeed mark a pedestrianised zone and as such would allow no traffic including blue badge holders through as u have just stated as it is a traffic regulation but a change of use requires planning consent i was unaware of any such planning	query	email reply 24.1 1.20 21	The signage does not contradict the order. They are one and the same. Everything is covered by the ETRO and the signage on street. Exemptions such as permit holders and blue badges are part of the ETRO and it is stated on the sign. Planning consent or change of use has absolutely no part to play in this scheme.				
but hose arnt the signs at the end of the road the actual signs have no markings for authorised vehicles just permit holders and change of use absolutly has a part to play if u are indeed making the road a fully pedestriionised zone as historic access kicks in at 5 years wich u could object to these signs based on that these also are not tempory signs but instead fully tacrmacked in to the ground.please also send me a copy of the new etro as i only have the ttro wich states access to all frontages	query	email reply 25.1 1.20 21	This is the sign on Argyle road. It very clearly states that authorised vehicles and permit holders are exempt. The ETRO is in place for 18months, from 18 <sup>th</sup> October 2021 (when the signs went in) after that the Council has to make a decision to keep the scheme or remove it. If you want to object to the ETRO & the school street scheme, you can. It will be taken into consideration along with any other objections received. If				

		<p>you wish to object please email us (schoolstreets@sheffield.gov.uk) stating your objection. Copies of all documentation relating to the school street road closures can be found in the public domain here: <a href="https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/content/dam/sheffield/docs/roads-and-pavements/covid-19-traffic-orders/sealed-orders/school-road-closures-traffic-order.pdf">https://www.sheffield.gov.uk/content/dam/sheffield/docs/roads-and-pavements/covid-19-traffic-orders/sealed-orders/school-road-closures-traffic-order.pdf</a> I hope this answers your questions</p>				
<p>My daughter attends Carfield school and the school street closures are great, however there are persistent issues at the corner of Kent rd/Upper Valley Rd. Every day there seems to be cars and vans turning at the junction, it is clogged up with traffic, often trying to reverse or turn around causing dangers to children. Often the entrance to the alleyway up to school is blocked by cars. This morning a van nearly hit a child. Is it possible to make this road one way so people can loop round?</p>	<p>query</p>	<p>email reply</p> <p>This junction has always been a problem with parent parking, as far as I'm aware it hasn't got worse but it is still very much a problem. It's also a problem that is really difficult to solve! We don't have the resources for regular enforcement of the existing restrictions and it would be pointless adding more restrictions to the area as they just get ignored. Which road would you make one way and how would that solve the issues? I will report the issue back to our engineers and highways design team and see if they have any alternative solutions or ideas</p>				

As I am sure u are aware as I have pointed out in previous emails you gave power over the marshals to the head teacher of Carfield school I have already provided proof that at one stage she gave me permission to pass through to which your response was it is now an etro and therefore law I would like to point out as can be baked up by [REDACTED] and is also attached that due to documents provided to me I can now prove the road block was used as a weapon against me in this discriminatory abuse of power I expect therefore that this road block will be removed forthwith and a letter of apology issued to my self if your stance remains the same please be aware that I will have no choice but to sue the la based on this act alone as I still have all emails between myself and this department as can be verified by [REDACTED] who works in traffic and will also go to the papers with the story and all my evidence.

passed onto legal